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Fluoride Salt-Cooled High-Temperature Reactor (FHR)

- New power reactor concept
  - About a decade old
  - Forsberg/Peterson/Pickard

U.S. Research Activities
- MIT, UCB, UW, OSU, other
- ORNL, INL
- Industrial

Chinese Academy of Science
- Build 2-MWt FHR by 2017
- First new reactor concept to be tested in decades
New Reactor Combines Old Technologies

Fluoride Salt-Cooled High-Temperature Reactor (FHR)

Passively-Safe Pool-Type Reactor

High-Temperature Coated-Particle Fuel

High-Temp., Low-Pressure Liquid-Salt Coolant (Transparent)

Air-Brayton Power Cycles
# FHR Is in Different Reactor Design Space

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coolant Temperature</th>
<th>System Pressure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low 280°C</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light-Water Reactor</td>
<td>Light-Water Reactor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium ~550°C</td>
<td>Sodium Fast Reactor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High &gt;700°C</td>
<td>High- Temperature Gas-Cooled Reactor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fluoride-Salt-Cooled High-Temperature Reactor

Base-Line Design for University Integrated Research Project
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
University of California at Berkeley
University of Wisconsin at Madison
Westinghouse

Chinese Design Similar
Other Teams Have Variants
New Reactor Combines Old Technologies

Fluoride Salt-Cooled High-Temperature Reactor (FHR)

Passively-Safe Pool-Type Reactor

High-Temperature Coated-Particle Fuel

High-Temp., Low-Pressure Liquid-Salt Coolant (Transparent)

Brayton Power Cycles

General Electric S-PRISM

GE Power Systems MS7001FB
Salt Coolant Properties Can Reduce Equipment Size and Thus Costs

(Determine Pipe, Valve, and Heat Exchanger Sizes)

Number of 1-m-diam. Pipes Needed to Transport 1000 MW(t) with 100°C Rise in Coolant Temp.

Baseline salt: Flibe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Water (PWR)</th>
<th>Sodium (LMR)</th>
<th>Helium</th>
<th>Liquid Salt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressure (MPa)</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Temp (°C)</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coolant Velocity (m/s)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Liquid Salt BP >1200°C
**Base Case Salt is \(^7\)Li\(_2\)BeF\(_4\) (Flibe)**

Physical Properties of Coolants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coolant</th>
<th>(T_{\text{melt}}) (°C)</th>
<th>(T_{\text{boil}}) (°C)</th>
<th>(\rho) (kg/m(^3))</th>
<th>(C_p) (kJ/kg °C)</th>
<th>(\rho C_p) (kJ/m(^3)°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li(_2)BeF(_4) (Flibe)</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>4670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.5NaF-40.5ZrF(_4)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1290</td>
<td>3140</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>3670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26LiF-37NaF-37ZrF(_4)</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>2790</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31LiF-31NaF-38BeF(_2)</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>4080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8NaF-92NaBF(_4)</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>2640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (7.5 MPa)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4040</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Salt compositions are shown in mole percent. Salt properties at 700°C and 1 atm. Sodium-zirconium fluoride salt conductivity is estimated—not measured. The NaF-NaBF\(_4\) system must be pressurized above 700°C; however, the salt components do not decompose. Pressurized water data are shown at 290°C for comparison.
Molten Salt Reactor Experiment (1965-69)

Is the Reactor-Base Experience with Salt Coolants

Fuel Dissolved in Salt: Much of this Work Directly Applicable to FHR
FHR Uses Graphite-Matrix Coated-Particle Fuel

- Demonstrated in gas-cooled high-temperature reactors
- Failure Temperature >1600°C
- Graphite compatible with Salt

Liquid Coolant Enables Increasing Core Power Density by 4 to 10
Many Fuel Options

- Pebble bed: Current technology
- Flat fuel: Existing materials, new design
- Pin assembly: New materials

Fuel Element
- 25 cm
- Tie Bar (~1 cm dia.)
- Pins
- Graphite Sleeve
- Moderator Elements in Reactor Core

Pin Assembly in Graphite Pile
- Flat Fuel Plates in Hex Configuration

Base Case
Advantages / Disadvantages of Fuel Options

- **Pebble bed**
  - Graphite-matrix coated-particle fuel
  - Used in helium-cooled reactors
  - **Demonstrated and Available: Base Case Choice**

- **Flat fuel plates in hex configuration**
  - Graphite-matrix coated-particle fuel
  - New fuel geometric form, old materials

- **Pin assembly in graphite pile**
  - Conventional fuel in SiC clad
  - Salt-cooled version of British Advanced Gas-Cooled Reactor
  - SiC clad only partly developed—major unresolved questions
For Every Graphite Gas-Cooled Reactor, a Parallel FHR Design

Neutronics
- Similar to graphite-moderated gas-cooled reactors
- Salt relatively transparent to neutrons

Thermal hydraulics: Liquid salts versus gases
- Liquid salt coolants are much better heat transport agents than gas coolants (Helium or Carbon dioxide)
- FHR power densities a factor of 2 to 6 higher
- FHRs have smaller reactor cores
- FHRs operate at low pressures
- FHRs use convection, not conduction, for passive decay heat removal
FHR Safety Case Based On Several Technologies

- FHR is a liquid-cooled low-pressure reactor
  - General layout similar to sodium fast reactors
  - Many safety systems from sodium fast reactors
- FHR is a high-temperature reactor
  - Modified gas-cooled reactor fuel—higher power density
  - Very high temperature fuel
- Unique feature: salt coolant
  - High melting point: 459°C
  - High boiling point: 1430°C
FHR Uses a Passive Decay Heat Removal System

Direct Reactor Auxiliary Cooling System

- No moving parts fluidic diodes exhibit high resistance to flow in one direction
- Upon pump shut down, natural circulation salt dumps heat to DRACS
High-Temperature Fuel and Coolant Alters Safety Limits

- Safety limit LWR: fuel clad failure from high temp.
- Safety limit SFR: void coefficient from boiling coolant with power surge
- Safety limit HTGR: high-temperature fuel failure
- FHR limits not well defined
  - Metal component failure
  - Bulk temperature limit
FHR Accidents May Not Damage Core

- Fuel failure ~1650° C
  - Iron melts at 1535° C
  - Nominal peak ~800° C
- Coolant boiling ~1430° C
  - Nominal peak ~700° C
- Vessel: <1200° C
  - Vessel failure before fuel damage
- Different than any other reactor and not fully understood

In core feedback: higher temperatures yield negative Doppler with power drop, lower salt viscosity with higher flows and $T^4$ radiation heat transfer
FHR High-Temperature Capabilities May Eliminate Offsite Accident Impacts

If Severe Accident, Silo Structure Conducts Decay Heat To Ground Below Temperatures of Major Fuel Failure

Severe Accident Destroys Reactor But No Large Radioactive Releases Even If Large-Scale Structural Failures
Salt Coolants Imply High-Temperature High-Efficiency Power Cycles

- Liquid Salt Systems (Low Pressure)
- Heat Transport Systems
- FHR
- Molten Salt Reactor
- Helium-Cooled High-Temperature Reactor (High Pressure)
- Liquid Metal Fast Reactor (Low Pressure)
- LWR (High Pressure)
- General Electric EBWR
- European EPR

Electricity (MW) vs. Temperature (°C)
FHR for Electricity

- Deliver heat from 600 to 700° C
  - Lower temperature above salt melting point
  - Upper temperature within existing materials

Power cycle options

- Commercial supercritical water cycle with peak temperature of 650° C
- Supercritical carbon dioxide cycle with good temperature match between delivered heat and power cycle
- Air Brayton cycle with good temperature match between delivered heat and power cycle
Power Cycle Options with 700° C Salt

Base Case

Air Brayton Cycle

- Air Brayton cycle based on natural gas turbine
- Dry cooling
- Low capital costs

Supercritical CO$_2$

Steam

- Generator
- LP turbine (x6)
- HP turbine (x2)
Open-Air Brayton Combined Cycle

- Use conventional, commercial compressor
  - (GE, Siemens, Mitsubishi have 400 MWt appropriate designs)
- Efficiency 47%
- Base-load with natural gas (NG) peak-power option
Combined Nuclear and Natural-Gas Combined-Cycle Power System Option

- At 700°C two operating modes
  - Base-load nuclear (47%)
  - Base-load nuclear with natural gas (NG) peaking
  - Very long-term option: hydrogen rather than NG

- Inject natural gas after hot-salt heating for variable power output
  - Low capital cost peaking unit because front end compressor unchanged—only turbines and boiler
  - Potentially more efficient than natural-gas Brayton cycle

- Better economics: Major increase in plant revenue at low incremental cost
Only Salt-Cooled Reactors Couple to Air-Brayton Cycles
FHR and Molten Salt Reactor

- Air-Brayton compressor exit temperature > 400°C
- Air-Brayton turbine temperature > 600°C
- Other reactors can’t couple to air-Brayton cycles
  - Light-water reactor and sodium fast reactor peak temperatures are too low
  - High-temperature gas-cooled reactor minimum coolant temperature is too low (<400°C)
Unique Process Heat Capabilities

Steam cycle separated from reactor by hot air:
Air-Brayton to steam recovery system

- No credible tritium transfer to steam
- Steam cycle independent of reactor design

>500°C (Shale oil, biofuels, etc.)
Current FHR plant design is compact compared to LWRs and MHRs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactor Type</th>
<th>Reactor Power (MWe)</th>
<th>Reactor &amp; Auxiliaries Volume (m³/MWe)</th>
<th>Total Building Volume (m³/MWe)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1970’s PWR</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABWR</td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESBWR</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPR</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT-MHR</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBMR</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>1285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modular FHR</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Potentially Competitive Economics
Conclusions

- No FHR has ever been built: new concept
- Rapid growth in interest: Small reactor to be built by the Chinese Academy of Sciences
- Combines existing technologies with changes
  - Gas-cooled reactor coated-particle fuel—but at higher power densities, lower temperatures and salt coolant
  - Clean molten (liquid) salt reactor coolant—but previous experience in MSR with fuel dissolved in coolant: both with high melting point coolants
  - Fast reactor safety systems—but with a high melting-point coolant
  - Power cycles—but at 700 C
Questions
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Coupled High-Temperature Salt Technologies

Multiple Salt-Cooled High-Temperature (700 C) Power Systems Being Developed With Common Technical Challenges

Separate from the Synergisms with Gas-Cooled High-Temperature Reactors and Sodium Fast Reactors
MSRE (1965-69) Is the Reactor-Base Experience with Salt Coolants

Fuel Dissolved in Salt: Much of this Work Directly Applicable to FHR
Salts Being Considered for Fusion

Fusion Requires $^6\text{Li}$ to Make Tritium Fuel

Heavy-Ion Inertial Fusion  Magnet Fusion Tokamak
High-Temperature Salts Being Considered for Solar Power Systems

- Same temperature range
- Same power cycles
- Similar development challenges
- Massive technology overlap

Concentrated Solar Power on Demand (CSPonD)
CSPonD Description

- Mirrors shine sunlight to receiver
- Receiver is a high-temperature liquid salt bath inside insulated structure with open window for focused light
  - Light volumetrically absorbed through several meters of liquid salt
  - Building minimizes heat losses by receiver
  - Enables salt temperatures to 900°C
- Small window minimizes heat losses but very high power density of sunlight through open window
  - Power density would destroy conventional boiler-tube collector
  - Light absorbed volumetrically in several meters in salt
- Requires high-temperature (semi-transparent) salt—Similar salt requirements as for FHR heat transfer loop

Figure Next Page
Two Component System

Light Reflected From Hillside Heliostat rows to CSPonD System
(Not to scale)

Flat Land Options Exist

Non-Imaging Refractor Lid

Lid Heat Extraction

Hot Salt to HX

Cold Salt from HX

Light Collected Inside Insulated Building With Open Window
CSPonD Heliostats Shine Light Through “Pinhole” into Liquid-Salt Collector

- Efficient light-to-heat collection
  - Concentrate light
  - Focus light through open window in insulated structure
  - Minimize heat losses
- Challenge
  - Light energy per unit area very high
  - Will vaporize solid collectors
Light Focused On “Transparent” Salt

- Light volumetrically absorbed through several meters of salt
- Molten salt experience
  - Metal heat treating baths (right bottom)
  - Molten salt nuclear reactor
- Advantages
  - No light-flux limit
  - No thermal fatigue
  - High efficiency
  - Energy storage

Like Ocean Absorption of Sunlight

Molten Chloride Salt Metallic Heat Treatment Bath (1100°C)
FHR Research Activities
University Integrated Research Project

Massachusetts Institute of Technology (Lead)
University of California at Berkeley
University of Wisconsin at Madison

Cooperation and Partnership With
United States Department of Energy
Westinghouse Electric Company
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Idaho National Laboratory
Three-Part University FHR Integrated Research Program

• Status of FHR and develop near-term path forward

• Technology Development
  • Materials development
  • In-reactor testing of materials and fuel
  • Thermal-hydraulics, safety, and licensing

• Integration of Knowledge
  • Pre-conceptual design of test reactor
  • Pre-conceptual design of commercial reactor
  • Roadmap to test reactor and pre-commercial reactor
The University of Wisconsin Will Conduct Corrosion Tests

• Evaluate salts and materials of construction
• Strategies to monitor and control salt chemistry
• Support reactor irradiations
Materials Tests In MIT Research Reactor
6 MWt water cooled: 24/7 Ops

- In-core tests
  - In 700°C Flibe liquid salt
  - 1st low-temperature in-core safety tests completed
  - Exploratory: no previous data
- Test samples: 1st round
  - ZrO₂ surrogate coated-particles (corrosion salt inward)
  - SiC structural (corrosion)
  - Carbon (³H uptake)

In-Core Capsule
UCB Conducting Thermal Hydraulics, Safety, and Licensing Tests

• Discovery: Dowtherm®-A (organic) can simulate hot salts cheaply at low temperatures and pressures
• Small experiments to simulate reactor thermal hydraulics
  • Unique to salt-cooled reactors
  • Potential for licensing-quality simulation in small laboratory
  • Massive cost / schedule savings
  • Major implications for licensing
• Analytical models to predict thermohydraulic behavior
• Support MIT irradiations

Can Laboratory Experiments Substitute for $10s Millions Multi-story Experiments?
ORNL Starting Induction-Heated Pebble-Bed Liquid-Salt Heat-Transfer Loop

- Loop specifications

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salt</td>
<td>FLiNaK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Temperature</td>
<td>700°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate</td>
<td>4.5 kg/s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating pressure</td>
<td>atmospheric</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material of construction</td>
<td>Inconel 600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop volume</td>
<td>72 liters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- FHR pebble bed heat transfer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PB-FHR</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coolant</td>
<td>FLiBe</td>
<td>FLiNaK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed Dia. (cm)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed height (m)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pebble dia.(cm)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pebble Re</td>
<td>3080</td>
<td>2570</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SiC test section - 600 graphite spheres 1.25 kw/sphere (max)

Finned tube air cooler - 200 kw

Overhung shaft Centrifugal sump pump

Inductive heating of test section - 200 kw
Work on Molten Salt Reactor
Fuel Dissolved in Salt: Implications for FHR

- France
  - Fast spectrum MSR
  - Significant negative void coefficient (unique FR)
  - R&D program, not demo

- Czech Republic
  - Criticality tests for MSR and FHR
  - Chemistry

- China
  - FHR and MSR
  - Chinese Academy of Science
  - To 700 people in 3 years
Chinese Academy of Science: 2 MWt FHR

- The CAS has decided to build two small salt reactors (April 12, 2012)
  - 2 MWt MSR (2017-2020)
- Memorandum of Understanding Between the U.S. Department of Energy and the CAS
  - C. W. Forsberg university contact
  - CAS 2-MWt FHR could become a validation test for development of FHR
Added FHR Information
Fluoride Salt-Cooled High-Temperature Reactor (FHR) Project

- Develop a path forward to a commercially viable FHR

Goals

- Superior economics (30% less expensive than LWR)
- Limit severe accidents
- 700°C for higher thermal efficiency and process heat
- Better non-proliferation and waste characteristics

Project start January 2012
FHR Core Design: Shutdown Options

- Doppler reactor shutdown on high temperature
- Conventional control rod system
  - Optional fusible link to drop control rods on high temperature
- Salt temperature-driven buoyancy control rods
  - Salt denser than graphite; build near neutral buoyancy control rods
  - If salt heats up, salt density decreases, rods drop
  - If neutral buoyancy at operating temperature, hold up by fluid flow and drop on loss of flow
- Salt temperature-driven fusible link shutdown
  - Soluble neutron absorbers in canister (boron or rare earth fluorides) with nickel-gold fusible link (like fire sprinkler systems)
  - Overheat and release to hot salt
## Salt-Fuel Combination Reduces Hot Spots in Reactor Core (Nominal Exit ~700°C)

Is an Accident in the Core Possible?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Helium (Gas)</th>
<th>Salt (Liquid) BP: 1430°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pebble</td>
<td>Sinks in Helium</td>
<td>Floats in Salt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid Flow</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>Up: Pebbles Held in Place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margins</td>
<td>100°C?</td>
<td>&gt;500°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Transparent High-Heat Capacity Salt With Radiation Heat Transfer \(\sim T^4\)*
The FHR Primary System can be in a Secondary Tank Filled with Salt

- Secondary Tank Functions
  - Decay heat sink
  - Assure can not loose coolant under any conditions
  - Low surface area tank so do not freeze primary system salt piping when shut down

- Secondary Tank System
  - Soluble neutron absorbers so shut down reactor if leak
  - DRACS system to control secondary salt temperatures
Fluidic Diodes Developed for German Fast Reactor and British Reprocessing Plants

- No moving parts diodes exhibit anisotropic flow resistance
- Nuclear experience available
- Vortex diode chosen as target design (Large version used in MHI APWR and Korean AP-1400 Accumulators)

German fluidic diode for sodium (Fluid Rectifier Diode)

Conventional Vortex Diode

Examining Advanced DRACS

- No moving parts
- Startup if $T > 700^\circ$ C
- Shutdown if $T < 500^\circ$ C so do not freeze reactor-coolant salt
- Example: NDHX frozen-salt air-cooled tubes (normal operation ↓)

Natural Draft HX (NDHX)

Frozen Salt

Liquid Salt →

↑ Natural Air Circulation
Potential for Large Reactor That Can Not Have a Catastrophic Accident
Large Temperature Drop to Conduct Heat To Ground

BDBA Salt Upon Melting Thermally Couples Reactor to Ground
FHR Cost Estimates Lower than Light-Water and Gas-Cooled High-Temperature Reactors

- Lower energy costs than Advanced Light Water Reactors (LWRs)
  - Primary loop components more compact than ALWRs per MWth
  - No stored energy source requiring a large-dry containment
  - Higher plant efficiency (40 to 50%)
  - Unanswered: Does the FHR size need to approach LWRs for superior economics

- Lower construction cost than high-temperature gas-cooled reactors

900 MWt FHR

400 MWt HTR
Salt Density Is Greater Than Fuel Density

Fuel Floats!
Refueling Pebble Bed FHR

- Annular pebble bed
- Pebbles float in liquid salt
- Pebbles circulate through the core once per month, one year lifetime
- Radiation detector determines when full burnup reached
FHR Concepts Span Wide Power Range

Base Case

3400 MWt / 1500 MWe

410 MWe
900 MWt

125 MWt/50 MWe