Nuclear:

An Arrow in Our Quiver in Tackling Climate Change



9 April 2018 Jun ARIMA Professor Graduate School of Public Policy University of Tokyo

Climate Negotiation and Nuclear

- Despite evident role of nuclear as non-fossil fuel, it is not reflected in climate negotiation
 - Environmental NGO and Anti-Nuclear Group
 - Anti-Nuclear Countries
- CDM "refraining from" the use of credit from nuclear project (Marrakesh Accord 2001) French and German environment ministers from Green Party
- Continuous deadlock between countries insisting on elimination of nuclear and those against it Impossible to send a positive signal on nuclear in the consensus-based UN system
- Under the Paris Agreement, it is up to each country how to reduce its GHG emissions. Nuclear is not the issue for international negotiation but domestic issue.



Climate Change Mitigation and Nuclear

Some environmentalists acknowledge the role of nuclear in tackling climate change, but they are still minority.







今、地球で何が起きているのか! COP21「パリ協定」は実現できるのか! ドイツのエネルギー政策に学ぶべきなのか! 世界では、原発へのシフトが始まっているが!

Japan's Power Generation Mix in 2030

- Restore the energy self-sufficiency to around 25% surpassing the pre-Earthquake level
 Reduce the electricity costs lower than today
- Comparable GHG reduction goal with other developed countries



Cost Reduction while Expansion of Renewable

• Restarting nuclear is necessary for absorbing soaring cost for increasing RE



2013 FY

2030 FY

Marginal Abatement Cost of Japan's NDC

MAC of Japan's NDC is among the highest. Barring nuclear restart, it would further surge



CO2 marginal abatement cost (\$/tCO2)

Adverse Circumstances on Nuclear

Public Opinion

- Still strong "nuclearphobia"
- "No blackout -> No need of nuclear" Lack of energy security and climate mitigation perspective
- Political Environment
- Regulatory Environment
 - Excessive reaction to "zero-risk" demand -> deviation from regulator's mission to ensure safe operation
 - Lack of communication with power industry
 - Insufficient staffing -> long-queue for safety check
- Lawsuit Risk
 - Rampant "Forum Shopping" (e.g. injunction on operation of Takahama)
- Business Environment
 - Unpredictable business environment for nuclear under electricity market liberalization

Mitigation Path for Achieving 80% Reduction in 2050

To achieve 80% reduction by 2050, GHG needs to be reduced by 7% annually between 2030 and 2050.

This is four times more rapid reduction compared with 2013-2030 for achieving 2030 target



Nuclear Installed Capacity Beyond 2030

 If Japan is serious about long term GHG emissions reduction beyond 2030, declining capacity of nuclear can not be left as it is.



Long-term Decarbonization and Nuclear

Sustainable Development Scenario of WEO2017, which is compatible with Paris target, suggests expansion of RE and nuclear in Japan towards 2040.



Conclusion

- Nuclear has been, is, and will be an arrow in our quiver in tackling energy security, economic efficiency and environment protection
- Nuclear restart is indispensable factor for achieving Japan's NDC in 2030.
- If Japan is serious about long-term GHG emissions reduction towards 2050, it should consider replacement of existing nuclear reactors
- Japan should pursue "Nuclear AND Renewable. "Nuclear OR Renewable" or "Nuclear VS Renewable" is fallacious agenda setting.
- In order to retain nuclear option, enabling policy/regulatory/business environment is essential
 - Clear policy direction on nuclear (restart, replacement, nuclear fuel cycle)
 - Enabling business environment with policy incentive facilitating nuclear investment under liberalized market
 - Rational nuclear safety regulation
 - **Revision of nuclear damage compensation law**
- All the above require strong political will and political capital
- Informed dialogue with general public is MUST, but energy policy should not be a slave of the opinion poll.

Thank you very much